ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS Type of Estimate and Analysis ☐ Original Updated | Corrected Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 – Motor vehicle and general aviation fuel taxation; intoxicating liquors; and cigarette tax Subject General provisions of excise taxation and enforcement Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☐ Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units **Public Utility Rate Payers** Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes ☐ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the department's administrative costs. No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals. If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government N/A Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) N/A

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM			2012 Session	
	LRB#			
□ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED	INTRODUCTIO	INTRODUCTION #		
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #	Chapter Tax 4, Cha Chapter Tax 9	pter Tax 8, and	
Subject		•		
Proposed order of the Department of R Fiscal Effect	evenue relating to general p	provisions of excise tax	ation and enforcement	
State: No State Fiscal Effect				
Check columns below only if bill makes a sum sufficient appropriation	direct appropriation or affects a	☐ Increase Costs - N	May be Possible to Absorb	
	5 1 2 5	Within Agency's E	Budget 🗌 Yes 🔲 No	
	ase Existing Revenues			
	ease Existing Revenues	☐ Decrease Costs		
☐ Create New Appropriation Local: No Local Government Costs		Decrease Costs		
<u></u>	Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Gove	rnmental Units Affected:	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ V	illages Cities	
2. Decrease Costs 4.	Decrease Revenues	☐ Counties ☐ O	thers	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ School Districts	☐ WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 2	20 Appropriations		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG	☐ SEG-S			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:				
The proposed rule order modifies severa				
aviation fuel taxation), Chapter Tax 8 (Int makes several changes to reflect current				
law. The proposed rule updates departm				
current tax rates.				
The revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the				
department's administrative costs.				
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:				
Agency/Prepared by	Authorized Signature/Tele		Date	
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Wisconsin Department of			
Jacek Cianciara	Paul Ziegler		Nov. 12, 2012	

608 266-5773

608 266-8133

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of Anni	ual Fiscal Effect	2012 Session
□ UPDATED	LRB#		min. Rule # Chapter Tax
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION #		Chapter Tax 8, and
Proposed order of the Department of Rever	nue relating to general provisi		apter Tax 9
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/o	r Local Government (do not inclu	de in annualized fisc	al effect):
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Cos	ts Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$ 0	\$ -0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Cost	s Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ -
		Φ	
FED			
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposa revenues (e.g., tax increase, dec	al will increase or decrease state crease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
TOTAL State Revenues		\$0	\$ -0
NE	T ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT		
	<u>STATE</u>		LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$	
Agency/Prepared by	Authorized Signature/Telephone	≥ No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Wisconsin Department of Rever	nue	
Jacek Cianciara 608 266-8133	Paul Ziegler 608 266-5773		Nov. 12, 2012
	=		